



[illegible]

Q. A

5

10

15

20

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To meet the above and other objectives, the present invention provides for a practical orbit raising method and apparatus wherein a satellite quickly escapes the Van Allen radiation belts while simultaneously maximizing payload mass and mission life.

5 The satellite quickly escapes the Van Allen radiation belts by firing high thrust chemical propulsion thrusters at apogees of intermediate orbits, starting from the transfer orbit initiated by a launch vehicle, to successively raise each orbit's perigee until the perigee clears the Van Allen radiation belts. The payload mass and mission life of the satellite are maximized using high specific impulse electric propulsion thrusters to continue  
10 raising the satellite to near geosynchronous orbit. The chemical and/or electric propulsion thrusters are then used for final touch-up to achieve geosynchronous orbit

Chemical thruster controlled orbit maneuvers allow the satellite to quickly escape the Van Allen radiation belts and avoid hazardous effects on the satellite electronics and minimize solar array power degradation due to radiation. Electric  
15 propulsion thrusters are used while steering the thrust vector and solar array to expedite final station acquisition and maintain the sun's illumination on the solar arrays onboard the satellite. Steering the thrust vector around the orbit (except for preplanned coast arcs) maximizes efficiency of delivered thrust at any orbit location and thus minimizes both the fuel required and the time to achieve the desired final orbit. Chemical and/or  
20 electric propulsion thrusters may selectively be used for final orbit adjustment to achieve the desired final station location.

The present invention does not have the restrictions of prior art orbit raising techniques, and causes the satellite to quickly escape the hazardous Van Allen radiation belts and expeditiously acquire final orbital location while steering the thrust vector and  
25 solar array to maintain the sun's illumination on the solar arrays to perform maneuvers.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The various features and advantages of the present invention may be more readily understood with reference to the following detailed description taken in  
30 conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein like reference numerals designate like structural elements, and in which:

Fig. 1 illustrates a chemical thruster orbit raising strategy used in a conventional satellite orbit raising method;

Fig. 2 illustrates a conventional electric propulsion maneuver strategy used in a  
35 conventional satellite orbit raising method;

Fig. 3 illustrates an exemplary chemical thruster orbit raising strategy in accordance with the present invention used the present satellite orbit raising method;

000001-000000

Fig. 4 illustrates an exemplary electric propulsion maneuver strategy in accordance with the present invention used in the present satellite orbit raising method;

Fig. 5 illustrates an exemplary system in accordance with the principles of the present invention for raising the orbit of a satellite to geosynchronous orbit;

5 Fig. 6 illustrates an exemplary method in accordance with the principles of the present invention for raising the orbit of a satellite to geosynchronous orbit;

Fig. 7 illustrates an exemplary electric propulsion thrust vector steering profile in accordance with the principles of the present invention for raising the orbit of a satellite to geosynchronous orbit;

10 Fig. 8 illustrates an exemplary in- plane component of the thrust vector steering profile of Fig. 7;

Fig. 9 illustrates an exemplary out-of-plane component of the thrust vector steering profile of Fig. 7;

15 Fig. 10 illustrates an exemplary variation of the angle between the actual and desired thrust vectors when maintaining a fixed attitude used in a conventional satellite orbit raising method;

Fig. 11 illustrates a method of sun- $\Delta V$  steering used in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/328 091, filed June 8, 1999 (PA 96076);

20 Fig. 12 illustrates a method of steering when the thrust vector is not normal to the solar array axis and the actual and desired thrust vectors are coincident;

Fig. 13 illustrates a method of thrust vector steering in accordance with the principles of the present invention;

25 Fig. 14 illustrates the direction of the thrust and sun vectors at perigee of an exemplary electric propulsion orbit raising method in accordance with the principles of the present invention; and

Fig. 15 illustrates the direction of the thrust and sun vectors at apogee of an exemplary electric propulsion orbit raising method in accordance with the principles of the present invention.

### 30 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Referring to the drawing figures, Fig. 1 illustrates a conventional thruster orbit raising strategy and Fig. 2 illustrates a conventional electric propulsion maneuver strategy used in a conventional satellite orbit raising method 10 that raises a satellite 11 (or spacecraft 11) from a transfer orbit 14 to geosynchronous orbit 15. In the conventional method 10, in the chemical orbit raising strategy and the electric propulsion maneuver strategy, the orbit maneuvers are limited in terms of location and attitude to achieve and maintain the semi-major axis of the orbit near the desired

09/328 091, Filed June 8, 1999

9



The apparatus 23 onboard the satellite 11 includes a processor 28 that generates thruster firing timing and steering profile commands. The thruster firing timing and steering profile commands from the apparatus 21 on the earth 13 (or apparatus onboard the satellite 11) and satellite sensor telemetry generated onboard the satellite 11 are processed using the software 29 that runs on the processor 28 onboard the satellite 11. The processor 28 commands satellite actuators (not shown) to execute the thruster firing timing and steering profile generated onboard the satellite 11.

Fig. 6 illustrates an exemplary method 30 in accordance with the principles of the present invention. The present invention provides for a satellite maneuvering method 30 that is used to raise a satellite 11 from a transfer orbit initiated by a launch vehicle (not shown) to geosynchronous orbit 15. The present method 30 comprises the following steps.

A satellite having chemical and electric propulsion thrusters is launched 31. Chemical propulsion thrusters are fired 32 at apogees of intermediate orbits, starting <sup>from</sup> the transfer orbit initiated by a launch vehicle, to successively raise the perigee of the orbit until the perigee clears the Van Allen radiation belts (Fig. 3). Chemical propulsion maneuvers may also take place at perigee to raise the apogee of the transfer orbit 14 (called a perigee velocity assist) but these are not shown. Electric propulsion thrusters are fired 33 to raise the orbit of the satellite 11 from the orbit achieved by the chemical propulsion thruster firings 32 to near geosynchronous orbit 15 (Fig. 4). The chemical and/or electric propulsion thrusters are fired 34 to achieve the final geosynchronous orbit 15.

In order to minimize satellite hardware cost, some electric propulsion systems may be designed to perform both orbit raising and on-orbit stationkeeping functions. In this case, the designer may select the thrust vector cant angle from the axis of the solar array to optimally perform these two functions.

For orbit raising, the optimal thrust vector is the one that is normal to the axis of the solar arrays (see Fig. 4) so that maximum sun illumination on the solar arrays is obtained all the time. This can be achieved by steering the thrust vector and slewing the solar arrays to drive the sun vector to be normal to the solar arrays.

On the other hand, for on-orbit stationkeeping, the optimal thrust vector is the one that is canted an angle  $\gamma$  from the axis of the solar arrays. This is illustrated with reference to Fig. 3 of copending US Patent Application serial No. 09/207,399, filed December 8, 1998, entitled "Practical Method and Apparatus for Satellite Stationkeeping", assigned to the assignee of the present invention. Therefore, to optimally perform both orbit raising and stationkeeping, the electric thrusters must be mounted on platforms such that the thrust vector is substantially normal to the solar

array axis during orbit raising, and after orbit raising is completed, the platforms are then rotated such that the thrust vector becomes canted at an angle  $\theta$  to the axis of the solar arrays, as shown in Fig. 3 of the above-identified patent application.

Because the adjustable platforms can be expensive, the orbit raising and stationkeeping may be performed with the thrusters canted at the angle  $\gamma$  at the expense of extending the orbit raising duration. This is because the optimal thrust vector steering strategy may cause the sun to be at an angle to the solar array so that not enough solar array power is available to perform the maneuvers. In this case, the thrust vector steering profile should be based on the constraint that the sun angle relative to solar array must provide sufficient solar array power to perform the maneuvers while the thrust vector is steered to achieve the mission objective (e.g., minimum propellant, minimum time).

The orbit raising mission is designed to transfer a satellite 11 from the launch vehicle's injection orbit to the final geosynchronous orbit and place it at the desired on-  
station Earth longitude location. Each phase of the mission can be optimized for its  
individual propulsion system's performance parameters but the best practical solution is  
a tradeoff that maximizes useful satellite mass delivered to final orbit while minimizing  
mission risk, complexity and cost for an acceptable transfer orbit duration (TOD).

The mission phases are:

20 I. Injection: In this phase, a launch vehicle lifts the satellite 11 from Earth to the injection orbit.

II. Chemical orbit raising: In this phase, onboard chemical propulsion transfers the satellite 11 from the injection orbit to the optimal starting orbit for electric propulsion orbit raising: the initial transfer orbit.

25           III. Electric orbit raising (EOR): In this phase, onboard electric propulsion raises the satellite 11 from the initial transfer orbit to the final transfer orbit (or drift orbit).

IV. Final touchup: In this phase, chemical and/or electric propulsion transfers the satellite 11 from the drift orbit to the operational geostationary orbit at the desired station longitude.

Transfer orbit duration (TOD) with electric propulsion thrusters may be measured in months (compared to days for chemical thrusters) but the improved useful mass in final orbit can be worth the wait and expense of this long time period. However companies who provide satellite communications services do not want to spend unnecessary time and expense when using low thrust electric propulsion to slowly transfer the satellite 11 to its final on-orbit location. Any delay in starting commercial use of the communications payload to generate income can be significant.

It is clear that any system which does not effectively use the limited electric propulsion resource and unnecessarily increases the time to reach final orbit is not desirable.

Since acceleration produced by electric thrusters is very small it is important not to waste this limited resource. Thus it is usually desirable to point an electric thruster in a direction that produces optimal transfer characteristics; typically one that minimizes TOD. For continuously operating electric thrusters the minimum fuel transfer also minimizes the TOD (and maximizes useful satellite mass) when the thrusters are optimally pointed throughout the orbit. A desirable use of electric thrusters for orbital transfer would then have continuous operation (except perhaps during eclipses or other planned coast arcs) around the entire orbit with a steered attitude profile that was continuously reoriented to point in a direction that minimizes TOD. Allowing coast arcs during less efficient thrusting parts of the orbit improves fuel usage and allows calibration of attitude sensors but at the expense of increased TOD.

An improved utilization of electric propulsion is outlined in Figs. 7-15 and the tables included herein. These figures and tables illustrate a transfer orbit process that is optimized for a specific launch vehicle lift capability; a given chemical propulsion system and propellant loading; and a specific electric propulsion system (such as a Stationary Plasma Thruster, SPT, using xenon as a fuel). However, the following description holds for other types of electric thrusters and other launch vehicles or combinations of these components of an orbit raising mission to, or near, geosynchronous equatorial or even medium Earth orbits or low Earth orbits. It would also hold if electric propulsion were utilized to adjust the orbit of a satellite around other planets or the moon.

Fig. 7 indicates a typical starting orbit for the electric propulsion orbit raising phase of a "launch vehicle injection-chemical orbit raising-electric propulsion orbit raising-chemical propulsion touchup" mission, optimized to minimize TOD for the electric orbit raising phase of a mission to geosynchronous equatorial orbit. As the allowable TOD gets longer the three main parameters defining the starting orbit will change: apogee radius and inclination increase while perigee radius decreases. For short orbit raising times the starting apogee is slightly supersynchronous, the perigee subsynchronous and the inclination small, but finite. However as the flight times increase all three of these parameters start out further from the final orbit destination; for long TOD the starting orbit inclination for electric propulsion transfer can be quite far from the desired final equatorial plane.

Fig. 8 gives the optimal angle for in-plane thrust during the electric propulsion phase of a typical mission. The in-phase angle is measured from the normal to the local radius vector. In the starting orbit ("0 days" in the figure) the in-plane thrust vector



direction is near zero degrees throughout that orbit. In other words, the optimal direction when thrusting continuously will raise apogee in addition to raising perigee. When near perigee (true anomaly near zero degrees) the in-plane component of the thrust vector is essentially along the velocity vector for rapid apogee raising. This direction is intuitively reasonable because using propellant early to move the apogee higher costs some fuel but permits more rapid perigee raising and inclination removal (saving fuel) for the portion of the orbit near apogee (true anomaly near 180 degrees). These transfer orbits are assumed to have no thrust coast periods for eclipses but the observations are still valid when they are accounted for in the thrust profile.

The out-of-plane thrust angle in Fig. 9 is also of interest. Clearly it is advantageous to do some inclination removal at the orbital node near apogee (true anomaly of 180 degrees) but these optimized profiles show that it is advantageous to do some inclination removal at perigee where the other orbital node is located. In fact, the attitude profiles show that in the starting orbit of the electric orbit raising phase of the mission it is often desirable to raise both perigee and apogee while removing inclination throughout the orbit (except near the anti-nodes). Fig. 7 summarizes the thrust attitude direction profiles in another way. The lines at any orbit location are projections of the thrust vector on the orbital plane, i.e., they indicate the magnitude and direction of the in-plane thrust. The length of the line measures how much out-of-plane thrust is desired; shorter lines mean more out-of-plane. The inertial direction of thrust changes throughout the orbit. A fixed predetermined thrust attitude direction will not be optimal for TOD or fuel usage.

Figs. 8 and 9 show the optimal thrust angle profiles for minimizing TOD, for a continuously operating thruster, as the mission progresses. It is clear that inclination is being removed throughout the entire 85 day mission at both perigee and apogee (not possible with a fixed attitude). As perigee approaches geosynchronous radius, out-of-plane electric propulsion thrust will be effective in removing inclination. The attitude profile in Fig. 9 indicates approximately 17 degrees for the out-of-plane thrust angle at perigee which costs less than 5 percent of in-plane thrust magnitude (a cosine loss) but gains 29 percent of thrust in the out-of-plane direction (a sine gain). Clearly restricting the thrust vector to a substantially fixed attitude after attaining a near geosynchronous semi-major axis does not take advantage of an electric propulsion system's ability to take out inclination through much of the later orbits in the orbit raising mission.

The methods for attaining geosynchronous orbit shown in U.S. Patent No. 5,596,360 and 5,716,029 do appear to simplify the mission profile by permitting the orbiting satellite 11 to maintain a fixed attitude while undergoing propulsive maneuvers. The firing direction is chosen to enable the satellite 11 to remain in view of a single

o

15

35



a  
a  
A method of steering the continuously thrusting electric propulsion mission phase, called Sun- $\Delta V$  steering, is taught in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/328091, filed June 8, 1999 (PA 96076).

Thrusters are balanced by firing them in pairs on the +y and -y sides of the satellite 11 (North and South sides on orbit) so the resultant EOR thrust vector is oriented along the z-axis (Fig. 11) and rotational torque is nominally zero (the principles still apply if thrusters are pointed off the center of mass to provide momentum control during EOR). The satellite 11 is then rotated in yaw until the solar array (pitch) axis is normal to the sun line. As the satellite 11 proceeds through the transfer orbit the attitude is continuously reoriented to track the optimal thrust direction. In general, each individual thruster direction is not aligned with the z-axis of the satellite 11 because it is desirable to use the same EOR electric thrusters on-orbit to perform North/South stationkeeping (NSSK) maneuvers. Thrust effectiveness is decreased by the sine of the cant angle  $\gamma$ , resulting in wasted fuel and a longer TOD.

Alternatively, the attitude control system may orient the satellite 11 so as to point the electric thrusters on one side of the satellite 11 in the direction of the desired thrust vector, as in Fig. 12. This orientation has essentially no thrust direction efficiency loss and TOD is minimized. Sun- $\Delta V$  steering may still be performed but at times the solar arrays are will be offset by the same cant angle  $\gamma$  from normal to the sun line. If the electric thruster offset angle is very large the solar array offset angle may not provide sufficient power to run the mission plan and the electric thrusters might have to be throttled back or even shut down entirely. Again TOD would increase.

It is the teaching of the present invention that a method which offsets both the thrust vector and the solar array (pitch) axis from their individually optimal directions gives the best balance to the mission. It is also a teaching of the present invention that a practical system of electric orbit raising utilizes one electric thruster or a plurality of adjacent thrusters on the same side of the satellite 11 to maximize effective thrust. Fig. 13 which depicts the possible orientations of the sun vector relative to the desired optimal thrust vector.

Region I: As the satellite 11 travels around the transfer orbit if the angle between the desired thrust vector and the sun vector,  $\theta$ , is in Region I of Fig. 13 then the process becomes:

(a) Orient the satellite 11 so the actual thruster axis,  $T_a$ , is aligned with the desired thrust direction,  $T_d$ .

(b) Define the other axes by rotating the satellite 11 around the actual thrust axis until the solar array axis (y-axis) is normal to the sun vector to provide full power from the arrays when the wings are rotated in azimuth to face the sun. The satellite 11 y-axis is then out of the S- $T_d$  plane by the angle  $\lambda$  where:

$$\cos (\lambda)=\cos (\gamma) / \cos \left(90^{\circ}-\theta\right), \text { when } 90^{\circ}-\gamma<\theta<90^{\circ}+\gamma.$$

Using this method provides both full power and full thrust when the desired thrust direction relative to the sun direction falls within Region I.

Region II: When the desired thrust direction relative to the sun direction is within Region II of Fig. 13 the sun angle is allowed to move off normal to the solar arrays but the actual resultant thrust is maintained along the desired thrust direction. The process is:

(a) Orient the satellite 11 so the actual resultant thrust direction,  $T_a$ , is aligned with the desired thrust direction,  $T_d$ .

10 (b) Rotate the satellite 11 around the actual thrust axis until the solar array axis (y-axis) is in the S-Td plane where the wings are then rotated in azimuth to face the sun. The angle of the sun vector off normal to the solar array axis  $\phi$  is:

$$\phi = 90 - \gamma - \theta, \text{ when } 90 - \gamma - \beta < \theta < 90 - \gamma$$

or

15  $\phi = \theta - (90 + \gamma)$ , when  $90 + \gamma < \theta < 90 + \gamma + \beta$ .

**Region III:** When the desired thrust direction relative to the sun direction is within Region III of Fig. 13 the actual thrust axis is moved away from the desired thrust direction while keeping the sun vector off normal to the solar arrays but constrained at the allowable limit  $\beta$ . The process becomes:

20 (a) First align the satellite 11 axes so the actual resultant thruster axis,  $T_a$ , is  
along the desired thrust direction,  $T_d$ .

(b) Rotate the satellite 11 coordinate axes around the actual thrust axis until the solar array axis (y-axis) is in the S-Td plane.

25 (c) Rotate the satellite 11 around its x-axis keeping the y-axis in the S-Td plane until the angle of the sun vector from normal to the solar array axis  $\phi$  becomes:

$$\phi = \beta, \text{ when } 0 < \theta < 90 - \gamma - \beta, \text{ or } 90 + \gamma + \beta < \theta < 180$$

(d) The angle that the actual resultant thrust vector makes with the desired thrust vector,  $\alpha$ , is:

$$\alpha = (90 - \beta - \gamma) - \theta, \text{ when } 90 < \theta < 90 - \gamma - \beta$$

30 or

$$\alpha = \theta - (90 + \gamma + \beta), \text{ when } 90 + \gamma + \beta < \theta < 180.$$

In the above equations,

$\gamma$  is the thruster cant angle from solar array axis (pitch or y-axis),  $\beta$  is the maximum allowable sun angle from normal to solar arrays,  $S$  is the vector from satellite 11 to sun,  $T_d$  is the vector from satellite 11 in direction of desired thrust,  $T_a$  is the vector from satellite 11 in direction of actual thrust,  $\theta$  is the angle between the desired

thrust vector and the sun vector (Td and S), and  $\alpha$  is the angle between actual resultant thrust vector and desired thrust vector (Ta and Td).

As an example illustrating the effectiveness of the present invention, assume the thrusters are canted at  $\gamma = 40$  degrees from the solar array axis (a desirable direction for on-orbit North/South station keeping). Also assume the satellite 11 has a positive power balance during EOR when the sun is  $\beta = 23.5$  degrees (or less) from normal to the solar arrays (solar array effectiveness of  $\cos[23.5]$  or 91.71 percent). Fig. 13 shows the definition of three Regions in the plane containing the sun (S) and desired thrust vectors (Td). Worst case thrust effectiveness of 89.49 percent would occur at the extremes of Region III if the desired thrust vector was along the sun vector; i.e., the actual delivered thrust differs from the desired thrust vector by  $(90-40-23.5) = 26.5$  degrees. The following table illustrates the thrust vector and sun vector for each of the regions illustrated in Fig. 13.

Region	Thrust Vector	Sun Vector
I	Actual thrust is possible along desired thrust direction ( $\alpha = \text{deg}$ )	Sun kept normal to solar arrays; with y-axis out of S-Td plane a maximum angle of $\gamma$ degrees
II	Actual thrust is possible along desired thrust direction ( $\alpha = \text{deg}$ )	Sun up to $\beta$ degrees from normal to solar array; y-axis kept in S-Td plane
III	Actual thrust differs from desired thrust direction by $\alpha = (90 - \beta - \gamma) \text{ deg}$ (maximum)	Sun exactly $\beta$ degrees from normal to solar array; y-axis kept in S-Td plane

Suppose the example profiles of in-plane and out-of-plane optimal thrust angles for an entire 85 day mission are given in Figs. 8 and 9. The following table summarizes a typical mid-mission orbit but assumes worst case angles to the sun at winter solstice.

	Region III Perigee	Region I Anti-nodes	Region II Apogee
Typical in-plane thrust angles	-180 deg (opposite velocity)	30 deg	0 deg (along velocity)
Typical out-of-plane thrust angles	+25 deg	0 deg	-25 deg
Minimum thrust effectiveness	90.6%	100%	100%
Effectiveness of solar array	91.71%	100%	99.97%

At perigee the geometry places the desired optimal thrust vector in Region III with the sun almost directly along Td (differing by only 1.5 degrees). Fig. 14 shows that the satellite 11 is oriented to the sun at the  $\beta$  limit of 23.5 degrees for an acceptable

effectiveness of 91.71 percent while the actual resultant thrust vector is still 25 degrees away from the desired thrust vector direction yielding a 90.6 percent effectiveness

5 some inclination at perigee so increasing the total angle to 50 degrees from the orbital  
plane must help by removing more inclination. Of course, those skilled in the art will  
recognize that Ta may be oriented more in-plane (but still at least 25 degrees from Td) if  
desired and still satisfy the  $\beta$  limit constraint if it were desirable to do a little more orbit  
raising and less inclination change but the concept of using adjacent thrusters to  
10 improve the thrust effectiveness is unchanged.

The situation at the anti-nodes, is illustrated in the preceding table, shows that there is no decrease of effectiveness for thrust or solar array because the geometry just discussed at perigee assures excellent geometry at the anti-nodes. In fact, the geometry at apogee must also be very good as is shown in Fig. 15. The actual resultant thrust can be delivered along the desired thrust direction with no loss while the sun is nearly normal to the solar arrays (1.5 degrees off normal gives a 99.97 percent effectiveness). In general the out-of-plane thrust angles in Fig. 9 which are typical for geosynchronous EOR missions thrust above the orbit plane at perigee and below the plane at apogee so the sun will not cause thrust losses at both places on the same orbit.

20 Examination of the typical thrust profiles in Figs. 8 and 9 shows very little time when thrust effectiveness of adjacent thrusters is less than 100 percent. Even on the worst orbits the sun and desired thrust vectors could not be in Region III for even half an orbit. Bounding the average thrust effectiveness for these orbits by 95 percent would be extremely conservative.

25           Thus, systems and methods that raise the orbit of a satellite to geosynchronous  
orbit have been disclosed. It is to be understood that the described embodiments are  
merely illustrative of some of the many specific embodiments which represent  
applications of the principles of the present invention. Clearly, numerous and other  
arrangements can be readily devised by those skilled in the art without departing from  
30   the scope of the invention.